

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,898		09/18/2003	Huiyong Paul Chen	07783-0066.NPUS00	7029
27194	7590	01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
HOWRE'		IG DEPARTMENT	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
<del>-</del>		ARK DRIVE, SUI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CI	HURCH,	VA 22042-2924		1713	
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>~</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,898	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>
	Roberto Rábago	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 October 2005.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,13 and 24-56</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-12 and 14-23 is/are rejected</li> </ul>	is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the contact o	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-46, with election of species corresponding to composition components which read on claims 1-3, 6-12 and 14-23, in the reply filed on 10/13/2005 is acknowledged.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. The IDS filed 9/10/2004 is objected to. The lined-through references have not been considered for the following reasons.
- (a) Under "U.S. Patent Documents," applicants have cited numerous patent <u>applications</u>; however, applicants have not supplied the required copies of the cited applications. If these documents are actually <u>patents</u>, then the US <u>patent</u> number should be indicated, and no copy of the document is required.
- (b) Under "Foreign Patent Documents," applicants have not included a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of a document which is not in the English language (i.e., EP 1013690).
- (c) Under "Other Documents," applicants have not provided any copies of the lined-through documents. Copies of the table of contents for each reference has been submitted; however, an isolated table of contents is not a reference. Furthermore, all literature references should include full citations, including page numbers as applicable.

Art Unit: 1713

(d) The foreign-language references which have been initialed have been considered solely on the basis of their English abstracts.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claims 8 and 9 contains the trademark/trade name Desmodur. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe the multifunctional isocyanate and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Art Unit: 1713

(b) Claims 8 and 9 are furthermore indefinite in that it cannot be determined whether the stated requirement for the use of the noted Desmodur products includes the entire composition or merely the isocyanate therein. Specifically, the Desmodur products are polyisocyanates disposed in a variety of solvents and concentrations (see attached product sheets), and it cannot be determined if the claims are intending to specify only the multifunctional isocyanate of each Desmodur product, or the entire prepared composition including the specific solvent and reagent concentration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10-12, 14 and 16-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagai et al. (US 5,326,846).

The reference discloses in Example 6 a resin composition comprising polyurethane, diphenylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate and triethanolamine, including all claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**Art Unit: 1713** 

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 8, 9, 15 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai et al. (US 5,326,846).

The parent claims are discussed with respect to this reference above. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the claimed unexemplified embodiments because patentee has disclosed them as useful alternatives: isophorone diisocyanate (col. 3, lines 31-32); at least 10% combined mass of isocyanate and crosslinking agent (col. 5, lines 49-68); catalyst (col. 6, lines 22-37).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR January 9, 2006